UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ANNETTE P. TISSUE, a single woman,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITIFINANCIAL, INC., a Texas Corporation doing business in Spokane, Washington, and their AGENTS, QUICKEN LOANS, INC., a Michigan Corporation doing business in Spokane, Washington, and their AGENTS, and COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC., a Texas Corporation doing business in Spokane, Washington and their AGENTS,

Defendants.

NO. CV-09-53-RHW

ORDER ADDRESSING PENDING MOTIONS

Before the Court is Defendant Quicken Loans, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss (Ct. Rec. 18); Plaintiff's Motion to Shorten Time to Amend Complaint (Ct. Rec. 25); Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint (Ct. Rec. 27); and Plaintiff's Motion to Late File Responsive Memorandum to Motion to Dismiss (Ct. Rec. 36).

After Defendant Quicken Loans filed its Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff filed a late response and asked the Court to permit the late filing. Defendant Quicken Loans objects to an extension of time and asks the Court to grant the motion based on the failure to timely file a response. Defendant Quicken Loans has not demonstrated how it was prejudiced by the late filing. Indeed, it was able to file a reply prior to the date the motion was noted for hearing. Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion to Late File Responsive Memorandum to Motion to Dismiss will be

ORDER ADDRESSING PENDING MOTIONS ~ 1

1 granted.
2 Li
3 Time to
4 Court we
5 Complai
6 Pl
7 appears
8 Court ag
9 is confus

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Likewise, Defendant Quicken Loans opposes Plaintiff's Motion to Shorten Time to Amend Complaint, but fails to demonstrate how it was prejudiced if the Court were to grant the motion. Plaintiff's Motion to Shorten Time to Amend Complaint will be granted.

Plaintiff seeks permission to amend her complaint. Her motion to amend appears to be in response to Defendant Quicken Loan's motion to dismiss. The Court agrees with Defendant Quicken Loans that having these competing motions is confusing. However, because motions to amend should be freely granted, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), the Court will permit Plaintiff to file her amended complaint and deny Defendant Quicken Loan's motion to dismiss with leave to renew. Plaintiff is directed to file her proposed amended complaint as an Amended Complaint in the court record.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Defendant Quicken Loans, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss (Ct. Rec. 18) is **DENIED**, with leave to renew.
- 2. Plaintiff's Motion to Shorten Time to Amend Complaint (Ct. Rec. 25) is **GRANTED**.
 - 3. Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint (Ct. Rec. 27) is **GRANTED**.
- 4. Plaintiff's Motion to Late File Responsive Memorandum to Motion to Dismiss (Ct. Rec. 36) is **GRANTED**.
- **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order and forward copies to counsel.

DATED this 17th day of June, 2009.

S/Robert H. Whaley

ROBERT H. WHALEY Chief United States District Judge

 $Q:\CIVIL\2009\Tissue\motion.ord.wpd$

28

ORDER ADDRESSING PENDING MOTIONS ~ 2